ICP Materials: long-term studies at the Lahemaa monitoring station, Estonia
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Abstract. The UNECE International Co-operative Programme on Effects on Materials, including Historic and Cultural Monuments (ICP Materials) is an ongoing research programme in the framework of which air pollution and the effect of climate on the corrosion and soiling of various natural and synthetic materials, among them on historic and cultural monuments, is studied. So far these studies have revealed that even though air pollution has continuously declined in Estonia, no significant reduction in corrosion on the displayed sample plates at the Lahemaa test site could be observed. The present article is based on annual data on the corrosion of carbon steel, zinc, and limestone and the soiling of modern glass in the air at the Estonian Lahemaa observation station from the period 2008–2009 and the relevant environmental data (pollution and climate). For comparison the material corrosion data from the Lahemaa station for 1997–2006 are used. In 2002–2003 the corrosion loss of zinc and in 2005–2006 the loss of Portland limestone increased at Lahemaa. The data from the Lahemaa station for 2005–2006 and 2008–2009 indicate that the estimated corrosion rate exceeds the 2020 target for limestone, which is 8 µm per year.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In Europe air pollution causes serious damage to materials and buildings, including historic and cultural monuments. The UNECE International Co-operative Programme on Effects on Materials, including Historic and Cultural Monuments (ICP Materials) was launched in 1985. In the first joint programme, which lasted for 8 years, 39 observation stations and 12 European states as well as one station in the USA and one in Canada participated. The cycles of the ICP Materials programme differ significantly, extending from one year (since the beginning of 2005) to eight years (first cycle 1987–1995) (Leygraf and Kucera, 1989; Tidblad, 2009).

A wide range of materials have been exposed over the years. An overview of the performed corrosion exposures for the period 1987–2009 for individual materials and groups of materials is given in (Tidblad et al., 2012) and for Lahemaa station in (Roots, 2008; Roots et al., 2011). The Estonian Lahemaa monitoring station has participated in the ICP Materials programme since 1987 (Leygraf and Kucera, 1989).

The main aim of the programme is to perform a quantitative evaluation of the effects of pollutants such as sulphur and nitrogen compounds, ozone, particulates, and other major pollutants, including the effects of low concentrations of these pollutants, as well as climate parameters on the atmospheric corrosion and soiling of important materials, among these materials used in objects of historic and cultural heritage, and to assess the trends of corrosion, soiling, and pollution. In this article the focus is on the measurements made at the Lahemaa station in 2008–2009.

2. METHODS

In 2005 a new trend exposure programme was started, and exposures of main indicator materials were planned to take place every third year together with collection of
data on environmental parameters. The studies are performed following the suggestions in the Technical Manual for the Trend Exposure Programme 2008–2009 compiled by the Swedish Corrosion Institute (currently the Corrosion & Metals Research Institute, KIMAB) (Tidblad, 2009). The obtained results are presented later by the corresponding research institutions as reports (http://www.corr-institute.se/ICP-Materials/web/page.aspx?refid=11). National focal points (NFP) are responsible for carrying out the tasks as described in the manual (Tidblad, 2009) in connection with exposure and withdrawal of specimens. The NFPs are also responsible for the collection and reporting of environment data and sending the results of the measurements of the above-mentioned parameters to the Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU), which is the coordinator for ambient air observations (Grøntoft et al., 2007). A list of test sites over time for the ICP Materials project is given in Table 1.

2.1. States and observation stations participating in the ICP Materials programme

The corrosion observation stations can be divided into four groups:

1. The main observation stations and project coordinator, which have been located in Sweden since the start of the project. In 2005, Italy was added as a second coordinator.

2. The centre for the collection and processing of environmental air pollution data located in Norway.

3. Research centres that send sample materials to observation stations for corrosion testing and later perform analysis of the samples (Czech Republic, France, Italy, Norway, Switzerland, and United Kingdom).

4. States whose observation stations participated in corrosion research from the beginning of 1987 (see Mezinski et al., 2004; Roots, 2008; Tzannis et al., 2009, 2011; Varotsos et al., 2009; Roots et al., 2011).

The Lahemaa background monitoring station lies 80 km east of Tallinn. Long-range transported pollutants are the major pollution source there. The first exposure started in 1987 when the station was included in the ICP Materials programme. Since then the racks have not been moved.

2.2. Parameters measured

At each ICP Materials observation station, the following parameters are measured: ambient air temperature, relative humidity, intensity of solar radiation; concentrations of gaseous pollutants SO₂, NOₓ, and O₃; amount, conductivity, and concentration of SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, NH₄⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺ in precipitation. The mandatory programme for ICP Materials includes passive particle collection in an unsheltered position (bimonthly sampling) and a passive particle collector in a sheltered position. The unsheltered samplers are mounted under a metal disc approximately 3 m from the ground in order to protect them from precipitation and direct sunshine. Every two months the samplers are removed and replaced (Ferm et al., 2005). The sheltered samplers are mounted in a box for sheltered exposure and exposed for one year (Tidblad, 2009). Measurements of ozone were added to the ICP Materials programme at the beginning of 1997 and nitric acid measurements from 2002 (Ferm et al., 2005; Final Report, 2005). Due to the increasing importance of particulate matter (Ferm et al., 2006), modern glass was officially included as a trend material for soiling of materials in the 2005–2006 and 2008–2012 exposures (Lombardo and Ionescu, 2009).

At the Lahemaa air monitoring station the following parameters are measured: ambient air temperature, relative humidity, and broadband solar radiation; wind speed and direction; concentrations of gaseous pollutants SO₂, NO, NO₂, NOₓ, CO, and O₃; concentrations of particles PM₂.5 and PM10 and heavy metals (As, Cd, Ni, Pb) in PM10; amounts, pH, conductivity, and concentrations of SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, NH₄⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺ ions in precipitation.

An overview of the currently used measurement equipment at the Lahemaa observation station alongside with the characteristic parameters with their detection limits and measurement frequency is given in (Roots, 2008; Roots et al., 2009, 2011; Kabral et al., 2012). Also random measurements of persistent organic pollutants in the ambient air have been performed at the Lahemaa station using passive samplers (Roots and Sweetman, 2007; Roots et al., 2010).

2.3. Materials investigated

Material samples are kept in the territory of the Lahemaa monitoring station on holding stands constructed for this purpose (Fig. 1). Different countries provide the Lahemaa station with test materials for investigating corrosion and soiling as well as with passive samplers. The materials investigated at the Lahemaa station within the framework of the corrosion programme from 2008 to 2009 and the supplying countries are listed in Table 2. Over the course of that year all sample plates from the Lahemaa station were sent to the corresponding research centres for analysis. Table 3 gives an overview of the performed exposures for some materials in the Lahemaa station from 1987, when the first exposure started, to 2008.
Table 1. List of all ICP Materials test sites showing number, name, country site type, year with available data, and participation in the 2011–2012 trend exposure (Tidblad et al., 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Site type</th>
<th>Available data</th>
<th>2011–2012 exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kasperske Hory</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kopisty</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>1987–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Espoo</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ähtäri</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Helsinki-Vallila</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Waldhof-Langenbrügge</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Aschaffenburg</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Langenfeld-Reusrath</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bottrop</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>1987–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Essen-Leithe</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Garmisch-Partenkirchen</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Rome</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1987–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Casacchia</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Milan</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1987–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Venice</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1987–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Vlaardingen</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Eibergen</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Vredepeel</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Wijnandsrade</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Borregrad</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Birkenes</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Aspvreten</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Lincoln Cathedral</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1987–2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Wells Cathedral</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Clatteringshaws Loch</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–1988</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Stoke Orchard</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>1987–1993</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Bilbao</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Toledo</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Lahemaa</td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–2009</td>
<td>X’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Lisbon</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1987–2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Dorset</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Research Triangle Park</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Steubenville</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>1987–1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1997–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Tel Aviv</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1997–2001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Svanvik</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1997–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Chaumont</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1997–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1997–2001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Antwerpen</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1997–2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Katowice</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>2000–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Vienna</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Sofia</td>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>St Petersburg</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Only modern glass exposure.
Fig. 1. Lahemaa corrosion observatin station (a), passive samplers for HNO₃ and particulate matter protected from wind and precipitation (b), a carousel on which rock samples are attached (c), materials plates exposed to the weather (d), and box for investigating the soiling of modern glass (e).
Table 2. Purpose of the investigation and materials used in the trend exposure at Lahemaa station in 2008–2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Material and number of samples</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Supplier country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrosion</td>
<td>Unalloyed carbon steel (C &lt; 0.2%, P &lt; 0.07%, S &lt; 0.05%, Cu &lt; 0.07%)* (3 samples)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zinc (&gt;99.9%)* (3 samples)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zinc (&gt;99.9%)* (3 samples)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Portland limestone (mainly CaCO₃)* (3 samples)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soiling</td>
<td>Modern glass** (1 sample)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two passive samplers (4 samples for HNO₃ and 4 samples for particulate matter)**</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibition: * exposed to weather conditions; ** sheltered from weather conditions; *** sheltered from wind and precipitation.

Table 3. One-year (trend) exposures of carbon steel, zinc (two not directly comparable samples), and limestone performed within ICP Materials, 1987–2008 (Tidblad et al., 2008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon steel</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Responsible centre SVUOM Ltd., Czech Republic.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In 1987 the focus was on the impact of SO₂ and climate. Later the programme was enlarged to include quantitative evaluation of the effect of NOₓ, O₃, HNO₃, and particulate matter. These were introduced in combination with climate parameters to study their effect on the atmospheric corrosion and soiling of important materials (Final Report, 2005; Tidblad et al., 2012). The average trends are quite different for the gases (Fig. 2). The concentrations of O₃ increased at ICP Materials stations during the 1990s, but NO₂ and SO₂ concentrations decreased. The concentrations of SO₂ and O₃ were relatively constant after the year 2000 (Tidblad et al., 2008). At the Lahemaa station SO₂, NO₂, and O₃ concentrations in the ambient air were relatively low between 2000 and 2008 (the highest concentrations in the ambient air were measured there for 2005–2006) (Kabral et al., 2012). The year 2006 could be considered as a ‘high-ozone’ year (Roots et al., 2009, 2011; Kabral et al., 2012). Monthly values for the Lahemaa monitoring station sent by the Estonian national contact person (the author of this article) to the Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU) are presented in Table 4.

Very few long-term measurements of atmospheric concentration of nitric acid have earlier been performed despite the fact that this compound causes corrosion and degradation of many materials. Its concentrations were the highest in Southern Europe, London, and Paris and very low in Northern Europe. The HNO₃ concentration was higher in urban areas than in rural areas (Ferm et al., 2005). The concentrations of HNO₃ in the Lahemaa station were also lower during the 2008–2009 campaign compared to 2002–2003 and were still low at all ICP Materials stations in comparison with the level of NO₂ content measured at those stations (Ferm et al., 2005; Tidblad et al., 2008). The highest concentrations of HNO₃ in the air at the Lahemaa station were analysed from February to April (Table 5). In addition to HNO₃ in the ambient air, the deposition of particles during a month was measured both in unsheltered from weather conditions and wind and precipitation and in sheltered positions (Tables 4 and 5). The mass of particulates deposited on all passive samplers of the observation station varied from <1 µg cm⁻² per month (detection limit) to 417 µg cm⁻² per month (Ferm et al., 2006).
Table 4. Monthly values of gaseous pollutants and concentrations in precipitation at the Lahemaa test site for the exposure period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling period</th>
<th>Climate</th>
<th>Gases</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Precipitation</th>
<th>Optional</th>
<th>Particles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>O₃</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>µg m⁻³</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>µg m⁻³</td>
<td>µg m⁻³</td>
<td>µg m⁻³</td>
<td>µg m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Availability (%)</td>
<td>Availability (%)</td>
<td>Availability (%)</td>
<td>Availability (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 10</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>86.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 11</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 12</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>82.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 2</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 6</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>84.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 7</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>96.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 8</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 10</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Results for HNO₃ and particles are presented in Table 5.
* Measured hourly.
* Measured daily.
* Measured weekly.
Table 5. Nitric acid concentrations at the Lahemaa observation station (protected from precipitation) and deposition of particles on passive samplers (exposed sheltered from weather conditions) in 2002–2009 (Ferm et al., 2005; Roots 2008; Roots et al., 2010; Tidblad et al., 2008, 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beginning of exposure</th>
<th>End of exposure</th>
<th>Number of days</th>
<th>Temperature, °C</th>
<th>HNO₃, µg m⁻³, STP*</th>
<th>Particulate mass, µg cm⁻² per month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.10.2008 12:00</td>
<td>16.12.2008 10:00</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>–4.0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.12.2008 10:10</td>
<td>11.02.2009 12:30</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>–4.0</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.02.2009 12:40</td>
<td>07.04.2009 9:30</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.04.2009 9:40</td>
<td>04.06.2009 11:30</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.06.2009 11:40</td>
<td>28.07.2009 13:10</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.07.2009 13:20</td>
<td>06.10.2009 11:00</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005–2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.11.2005 11:30</td>
<td>03.01.2006 14:15</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.01.2006 14:30</td>
<td>21.03.2006 14:18</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>–4.0</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.03.2006 14:20</td>
<td>17.05.2006 13:55</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.05.2006 14:00</td>
<td>12.07.2006 10:50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.07.2006 11:00</td>
<td>07.11.2006 11:00</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002–2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.11.2002</td>
<td>14.01.2003</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.01.2003</td>
<td>12.03.2003</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.03.2003</td>
<td>21.05.2003</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.05.2003</td>
<td>30.07.2003</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.07.2003</td>
<td>11.09.2003</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.09.2003</td>
<td>20.11.2003</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* STP – Standard Temperature and Pressure (standard conditions), 20 °C, 1013 hPa.

Table 6. Corrosion losses and soiling of modern glass (haze in %) after 1 year of exposure to open weather conditions at the Lahemaa observation station in 1997–2008 (Final Report, 2005; Kreislova et al., 2007; Reiss and Faller, 2007; Yates, 2007; Roots, 2008; Tidblad et al., 2008, 2011, 2013; Lombardo and Ionescu, 2009; Lombardo et al., 2010; Roots et al., 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure year</th>
<th>Unalloyed carbon steel* (SVUOM Ltd), g m⁻²</th>
<th>Zinc (SVUOM Ltd), g m⁻²</th>
<th>Zinc (EMPA), g m⁻²</th>
<th>Portland limestone (BRE), µm year⁻¹</th>
<th>Modern glass (LISA), haze in %***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002**</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Tolerable level for carbon steel corrosion loss is 157 g m⁻² (Kreislova et al., 2007).
** Exposure in the framework of the EU project MULTI-ASSESS (http://www.corr-institute.se/MULTI-ASSESS).
*** Haze is defined as the ratio between the diffuse transmitted light and the direct transmitted light (Lombardo and Ionescu, 2009; Lombardo et al., 2010).

Even though air pollution has continuously declined in the ambient air at the Lahemaa station, no significant reduction in corrosion on the displayed plates was observed there (Table 6). On the contrary, in 2002–2003 the corrosion loss of zinc and in 2005–2006 the loss of Portland limestone increased at Lahemaa. The corrosion attack to Portland limestone was much higher in 2005–2006 than in 2002–2003 (Roots et al., 2011). The environmental pollution and corrosion data for the Lahemaa station samples from 2005–2006 and 2008–2009 indicate that the estimated corrosion rate exceeds the 2020 target for limestone, which is 8 µm per year (Doytchinov et al., 2012).

The procedure for deriving dose–response functions (DRF) and trends in corrosion and soiling from the measured material deterioration at different exposure...
sites is described in (Reiss, 2004; Final Report, 2005; Tidblad et al., 2011, 2012, 2013). For example, the DRF for Zn in the sulphur dioxide-dominating situation that takes into account combined effects of climate change and air pollution on metal corrosion developed by ICP Materials (Economic Commission for Europe, 2008) is

\[ ML = 1.4[SO_2]^{0.22}e^{0.018 Rh}e^{(T)}t^{0.85} + 0.029 Rain[H^+], t, \]

where \( ML \) is the mass loss in g m\(^{-2}\), [SO\(_2\)] is the sulphur dioxide concentration in \( \mu g \) m\(^{-3}\), \( e \) is Euler’s number, \( Rh \) is the relative humidity in per cent, \( f(T) \) is a function of temperature in °C equal to \( 0.062(T-10) \) when \( T \) is lower than 10°C and \( -0.021(T-10) \) when \( T \) is higher than 10°C, \( t \) is the time in years, \( Rain \) is the amount of annual precipitation in mm, and \([H^+]\) is the hydrogen ion concentration in precipitation in \( mg \) L\(^{-1}\).

Soiling of the modern glass has been assessed through an optical measurement (Fig. 3). Haze is currently used in the glass industry to measure the transparency of a product. Haze over 1% indicates the visual nuisance felt by human eyes looking through a ‘dirty’ glass plate (Lombardo and Ionescu, 2009; Lombardo et al., 2010; Verney-Carron et al., 2012). Although DRFs of the soiling of modern glass are currently being evaluated, no final functions are available yet because results after four years of exposure (2008–2012) will have to be included (Lombardo et al., 2010; Tidblad et al., 2011).

4. CONCLUSIONS

The present article is based on annual data on the environment (pollution and climate), corrosion of carbon steel, zinc, and limestone and soiling of modern glass for the period 2008–2009 acquired from the results of the Estonian State Ambient Air Monitoring Programme with individual ICP Materials reports. For comparison material corrosion data from the Lahemaa station for the period 1997–2006 were used.

The concentrations of \( SO_2 \), \( NO_2 \), and \( O_3 \) have decreased in the ambient air at Lahemaa as indicated by the latest trend exposure in 2008–2009. Nitric acid concentrations were measured at the Lahemaa observation station in 2002–2003, 2005–2006, and 2008–2009. This comparison revealed a 42% decrease from 2005–2006 to 2008–2009. However, only three exposure periods are not sufficient for far-reaching conclusions. It can be seen that even though air pollution continuously declined at the Lahemaa station, no significant reduction in soiling on the displayed plates was observed there. On the contrary, in 2002–2003 the corrosion loss of zinc (Reiss and Faller, 2007) and in 2005–2006 the loss of Portland limestone increased at Lahemaa. Based on the environmental pollution and corrosion data from the Lahemaa station samples for 2005–2006 and 2008–2009 the corrosion estimated rate exceeds the 2020 target for limestone, which is 8 µm per year (Doychtinov et al., 2012). A tolerable corrosion rate should serve primarily for the protection of the so-called sensitive zones with objects of high cultural value such as medieval towns or objects included in the UNESCO World Heritage List (e.g. the Old City of the Estonian capital Tallinn).

Some of the crumbling deterioration could be due to a change in environmental conditions (highest concentrations of \( SO_2 \), \( NO_2 \), and \( O_3 \) in the ambient air at the Lahemaa station analysed for 2005–2006), but another explanation might be that the stone material was of different quality (Yates, 2007; Roots et al., 2011). The corrosion of carbon steel and zinc has decreased exponentially at the Lahemaa site since the beginning of exposures (Kreislova et al., 2007; Tidblad et al., 2011, 2012, 2013). Modern glass as an indicator for soiling has only been exposed at the Lahemaa station for two periods: 2005–2006 and 2008–2009. On average, there was no difference in the results of the soiling of modern glass at the Lahemaa station between the two periods. According to the material corrosion measurements, the Lahemaa station belongs to the group of the so-called background stations.
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