



## On some operator equations in the space of analytic functions and related questions

Mehmet Gürdal\* and Filiz Şöhret

Department of Mathematics, Süleyman Demirel University, 32260 Isparta, Turkey; filiz\_sohret@hotmail.com

Received 4 October 2011, accepted 19 January 2012, available online 7 May 2013

**Abstract.** We investigate extended eigenvalues, extended eigenvectors, and cyclicity problems for some convolution operators. By using the Duhamel product technique, we also estimate the norm of the inner derivation operator  $\Delta_A$ .

**Key words:** extended eigenvalue, extended eigenvector,  $\alpha$ -Duhamel product, starlike region, Frechet space, inner derivation operator.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Let  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  be an algebra of all continuous linear operators acting on the topological vector space  $E$ . The operator equation

$$AX = XB \tag{1}$$

naturally arises in numerous issues of spectral theory of operators, representation theory, stability theory (Lyapunov's equation), etc. For example, if the set of solutions of Eq. (1) contains a boundedly invertible operator  $X_0$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are similar,  $B = X_0^{-1}AX_0$ , and hence have many common spectral properties. In general case, it is of interest to describe the set of all solutions of Eq. (1).

If  $B = \lambda A$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , then following [1], one refers to  $\lambda$  as an extended eigenvalue of  $A$ , and each bounded solution  $X$  of the equation

$$AX = \lambda XA,$$

i.e., Eq. (1) with  $B = \lambda A$ , is called an extended eigenvector of  $A$ .

In this paper we investigate the so-called extended eigenvalues and extended eigenvectors and cyclicity problems for some convolution operators acting on the space of analytic functions defined on the starlike domain  $\mathcal{D}$  of the complex plane. Our investigation is motivated by the results of Nagnibida's paper [11]. By using the Duhamel product method (see [13]), we also give a lower estimate for the inner derivation operator  $\Delta_A$  defined in the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}\left(C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})\right)$  by  $\Delta_A(X) := AX - XA$ .

The integration operator  $V$  on  $L^p[0, 1]$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) is defined by  $Vf(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$ . The set of intertwining operators for the pair  $\{V^\beta, \lambda V^\beta\}$  with  $\beta > 0$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  was studied by Malamud in [3,9,10]. Namely, he showed that there exists a nonzero intertwining operator for the pair  $\{V^\beta, \lambda V^\beta\}$  only if  $\lambda > 0$ . Furthermore, the paper [10] provides a description of the set  $\{V^\beta\}'_\lambda$  of all intertwining operators for the pair

\* Corresponding author, [gurdalmehmet@sdu.edu.tr](mailto:gurdalmehmet@sdu.edu.tr)

$\{V^\beta, \lambda V^\beta\}$  for  $\lambda > 0$ . For  $\beta = 1$ , the latter result was reproved by another method by Biswas, Lambert, and Petrovic [1], and Karaev [6]. For more details, see [1,2,4,5,9,10].

Let  $\alpha$  be a fixed complex number, let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a simply connected region in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  that is starlike with respect to the point  $z = \alpha$  (i.e.,  $\lambda z + (1 - \lambda)\alpha \in \mathcal{D}$ ), and let  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$  be the space of all single-valued and analytic functions in  $\mathcal{D}$  that have a topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. It is well known that  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$  is a Frechet space. By  $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$  we shall denote the integration operator in the space  $A(\mathcal{D})$  defined by the formula

$$(\mathcal{I}_\alpha f)(z) = \int_\alpha^z f(t) dt \quad (\forall f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})),$$

where the integration is performed over straight-line segments connecting the points  $\alpha$  and  $z$  ( $z \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ ).

Recall that for  $f, g \in A(\mathcal{D})$  their  $\alpha$ -Duhamel product is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \left(f \underset{\alpha}{\otimes} g\right)(z) &= \frac{d}{dz} \int_\alpha^z f(z + \alpha - t) g(t) dt \\ &= \int_\alpha^z f'(z + \alpha - t) g(t) dt + f(\alpha) g(z), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the integrals are taken over the segment joining the points  $\alpha$  and  $z$  ( $z \in A(\mathcal{D})$ ). It is easy to see that the  $\alpha$ -Duhamel product satisfies all the axioms of multiplication,  $A(\mathcal{D})$  is an algebra with respect to  $\underset{\alpha}{\otimes}$  as well,

and the function  $f(z) \equiv 1$  is the unit element of the algebra  $\left(A(\mathcal{D}), \underset{\alpha}{\otimes}\right)$ . The operator  $\mathcal{D}_f, \mathcal{D}_f^\alpha g := f \underset{\alpha}{\otimes} g$ , is called the  $\alpha$ -Duhamel operator on  $A(\mathcal{D})$ .

## 2. EXTENDED EIGENVALUES AND EXTENDED EIGENVECTORS FOR SOME CONVOLUTION OPERATORS

Let  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a starlike region with respect to the origin. For any fixed nonzero function  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ , let  $\mathcal{K}_f$  be the usual convolution operator acting on the space  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$  by the formula

$$(\mathcal{K}_f g)(z) = (f * g)(z) := \int_0^z f(z-t) g(t) dt.$$

It follows from the classical Titchmarsh convolution theorem and uniqueness theorem for analytic functions that  $\ker(\mathcal{K}_f) = \{0\}$ . This means that 0 is not an extended eigenvalue of the operator  $\mathcal{K}_f$ , and therefore  $\text{ext}(\mathcal{K}_f) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  (here  $\text{ext}(\mathcal{K}_f)$  denotes the set of all extended eigenvalues of the operator  $\mathcal{K}_f$ ).

The integration operator  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$  is defined by  $\mathcal{I} f(z) = \int_0^z f(t) dt$ . Let  $f^{\otimes k}$  denote the  $\otimes$ -product (which is clearly  $\underset{0}{\otimes}$ , that is the usual Duhamel product) of  $f$  with itself  $k$  times for  $k \geq 0$ , i.e.,  $f^{\otimes k} := \underbrace{f \otimes \dots \otimes f}_k$ , where  $f^{\otimes 0}(z) \equiv 1$ . If  $f$  is a function in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$  such that  $\{(\mathcal{I} f)^{\otimes n}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a complete system in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ , we will denote by  $\Lambda_f$  the set of all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  for which the diagonal operator

$$D_{\{\lambda\}}(\mathcal{I} f)^{\otimes n} = \lambda^n (\mathcal{I} f)^{\otimes n}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

is continuous in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ .

The following theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions under which the set  $\Lambda_f$  lies in the set  $\text{ext}(\mathcal{K}_f)$ . Our result is apparently the first result in the “extended theory” for more general convolution

operators, which is an extension of Karaev's result [7, Theorem 2, (ii)]. The related results for the integration operator are considered in [4,7].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$  be a nonzero function. Suppose that the system  $\{(\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is complete in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}))$  be a nonzero operator and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_f$  be any number. Then*

$$A\mathcal{K}_f = \lambda\mathcal{K}_fA$$

if and only if there exists  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$  such that  $A = \mathcal{D}_\varphi D_{\{\lambda\}}$ .

*Proof.* By using the usual Duhamel product  $\otimes$ , which is defined by

$$(f_1 \otimes f_2)(z) := \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z f_1(z-t)f_2(t) dt,$$

we have that any function  $f_1 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$  defines the continuous operator (Duhamel operator)  $\mathcal{D}_{f_1}f_2 := f_1 \otimes f_2$ ,  $f_2 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_f g &= \mathcal{J} \mathcal{D}_f g = z \otimes (f \otimes g) \\ &= (z \otimes f) \otimes g = \mathcal{D}_{z \otimes f} g = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{J}f} g \end{aligned}$$

for all  $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ . Thus  $\mathcal{K}_f = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) \mathcal{J}f$ .

Now, let  $\lambda \in \Lambda_f$  be any number, and suppose that

$$\lambda \mathcal{K}_f A = A \mathcal{K}_f.$$

Then, obviously

$$\lambda^n \mathcal{K}_f^n A g = A \mathcal{K}_f^n g$$

for all  $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $n \geq 0$ . In particular, putting  $g = 1$  in the last equality, we have

$$A \mathcal{K}_f^n 1 = \lambda^n \mathcal{K}_f^n A 1$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}_f = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{J}f}$ , clearly we have

$$\mathcal{K}_f^n 1 = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{J}f}^n 1 = (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n} \otimes 1 = (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n} &= \lambda^n ((\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n} \otimes A1) \\ &= \lambda^n (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n} \otimes A1 = \mathcal{D}_{A1}(\lambda^n (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n}) \\ &= \mathcal{D}_{A1} D_{\{\lambda\}} (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $\{(\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a complete system of the space  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $D_{\{\lambda\}}$  is a continuous operator on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ , it follows from the last equalities that

$$A g = \mathcal{D}_{A1} D_{\{\lambda\}} g$$

for all  $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ , which means that  $A = \mathcal{D}_\varphi D_{\{\lambda\}}$ , where  $\varphi = A1 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ , as desired.

Conversely, let us show that if  $A$  has the form  $A = \mathcal{D}_\varphi \mathcal{D}_{\{\lambda\}}$ , where  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ , it satisfies the equation  $A\mathcal{K}_f = \lambda\mathcal{K}_f A$ . In fact, by considering the formula  $A\mathcal{K}_f = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{J}_f}$ , and commutativity of the product  $\otimes$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\mathcal{K}_f A(\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n} &= \lambda\mathcal{K}_f \mathcal{D}_\varphi \mathcal{D}_{\{\lambda\}}(\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n} \\ &= \lambda \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{J}_f} \mathcal{D}_\varphi (\lambda^n (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n}) = \lambda \mathcal{D}_\varphi \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{J}_f} (\lambda^n (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n}) \\ &= \lambda \mathcal{D}_\varphi (\mathcal{J}f \otimes \lambda^n (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n}) = \mathcal{D}_\varphi \lambda^{n+1} (\mathcal{J}f \otimes (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n}) \\ &= \mathcal{D}_\varphi \lambda^{n+1} (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n+1} = \mathcal{D}_\varphi \mathcal{D}_{\{\lambda\}} (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n+1} \\ &= A(\mathcal{J}f \otimes (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n}) = A \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{J}_f} (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n} \\ &= A\mathcal{K}_f (\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . By considering completeness of the system  $\{(\mathcal{J}f)^{\otimes n}\}_{n \geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ , from the last equalities we deduce that  $A\mathcal{K}_f = \lambda\mathcal{K}_f A$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

### 3. CYCLIC VECTORS OF CONVOLUTION OPERATOR $\mathcal{K}_{f,\alpha}$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a starlike region in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  with respect to  $z = \alpha$ . Our next result describes all cyclic vectors of some convolution operators of the form

$$(\mathcal{K}_{f,\alpha}g)(z) := \int_\alpha^z f(z+\alpha-t)g(t)dt.$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ , and assume that  $\{(\mathcal{J}_\alpha f)^\alpha\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a complete system in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ . If  $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ , then  $g$  is a cyclic vector for the convolution operator  $\mathcal{K}_{f,\alpha}$  if and only if  $g(\alpha) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* It follows from the definition of  $\alpha$ -Duhamel product  $\otimes_\alpha$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{f,\alpha}h &= \mathcal{J}_\alpha \mathcal{D}_{f,\alpha}h = (z-\alpha) \otimes_\alpha \left( f \otimes_\alpha h \right) \\ &= \left( (z-\alpha) \otimes_\alpha f \right) \otimes_\alpha h = \mathcal{D}_{(z-\alpha) \otimes_\alpha f} h = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{J}_\alpha f} h \end{aligned}$$

for all  $h \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ , which means that  $\mathcal{K}_{f,\alpha} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{J}_\alpha f}$ . Then according to the condition of the theorem, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} E_g &= \text{span} \{ \mathcal{K}_{f,\alpha}^n g : n \geq 0 \} = \text{span} \{ (\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{J}_\alpha f})^n g : n \geq 0 \} \\ &= \text{span} \left\{ (\mathcal{J}_\alpha f)^\alpha \otimes_\alpha g : n \geq 0 \right\} = \text{span} \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{g,\alpha} (\mathcal{J}_\alpha f)^\alpha : n \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \text{clos}_{\mathcal{D}_{g,\alpha}} \text{span} \left\{ (\mathcal{J}_\alpha f)^\alpha : k \geq 0 \right\} = \text{clos}_{\mathcal{D}_{g,\alpha}} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$E_g = \text{clos}_{\mathcal{D}_{g,\alpha}} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}).$$

Now, if  $g(\alpha) \neq 0$ , then by virtue of Nagnibida's result operator  $\mathcal{D}_{g,\alpha}$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ , which implies that

$$\mathcal{D}_g \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}).$$

Hence  $E_g = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ , which shows that  $g$  is a cyclic vector for the convolution operator  $\mathcal{K}_{f,\alpha}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$  is a cyclic vector for the operator  $\mathcal{H}_{f,\alpha}$ , that is  $E_g = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ . If  $g(\alpha) \neq 0$ , it is easy to see from the equality  $E_g = \text{clos } \mathcal{D}_{g,\alpha} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$  that  $E_g \subset \{h \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) : h(\alpha) = 0\}$ , which is impossible because  $E_g = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ . Consequently,  $g(\alpha) \neq 0$ , which proves the theorem.  $\square$

Since  $\{(z - \alpha)^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a complete system in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ , the next corollary immediately follows from Theorem 2.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$  be an integration operator defined on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$  by  $(\mathcal{J}_\alpha g)(z) = \int_\alpha^z g(t) dt$ . Then

$$\text{Cyc}(\mathcal{J}_\alpha) = \{g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) : g(\alpha) \neq 0\},$$

where  $\text{Cyc}(\mathcal{J}_\alpha)$  denotes the set of all cyclic vectors of  $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$ .

For the related results see [6–8] and Tkachenko [12]; in [7] the analogous results are considered by Karaev for the Banach space  $C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$ .

#### 4. ON THE NORM OF INNER DERIVATION OPERATOR

Let  $A$  be a fixed linear bounded operator acting on the Banach space  $C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$ , which is the space of all  $n$ -times continuously differentiable functions on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  that are holomorphic on the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . In [7], Karaev proved that  $C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$  is an algebra with multiplication of the Duhamel product

$$(f \otimes g)(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z f(z-t)g(t) dt. \tag{3}$$

Thus, the Duhamel operator  $\mathcal{D}_f$  defined on  $C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$  by  $\mathcal{D}_f g := f \otimes g$  is bounded and  $\|\mathcal{D}_f\| \leq \|f\|$ . On the other hand, it is clear from (3) that  $f = f \otimes 1$ , and therefore  $\|\mathcal{D}_f\| = \|f\|$ . In this section, by using this formula we will estimate the norm of the inner derivation operator  $\Delta_A$  defined on the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}(C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D}))$  by the formula

$$\Delta_A(X) := AX - XA.$$

Obviously

$$\|\Delta_A\| \leq 2\|A\|. \tag{4}$$

The following theorem gives some lower estimate for  $\|\Delta_A\|$  in terms of  $A$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D}))$  be a fixed operator. Suppose that for every  $X \in \mathcal{B}(C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D}))$  there exists a nonzero function  $f := f_X \in C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$((AX - XA)f)(0) \neq 0.$$

Then there exists a constant  $C_A > 0$  such that

$$C_A \leq \|\Delta_A\| \leq 2\|A\|.$$

*Proof.* According to (4), there remains only to prove the left inequality. Indeed, let us denote

$$(AX - XA)f(z) := g(z). \tag{5}$$

Clearly,  $g = g_{A,X}$ . Since  $g(0) \neq 0$ , by the result of paper [8, Theorem 1], the Duhamel operator  $\mathcal{D}_g$  is invertible in  $C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$ . Therefore, there exists a unique  $G \in C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $G \otimes g = g \otimes G = 1$ . Hence,  $f \otimes G \otimes g = f$ . Thus, it follows from (5) that

$$\mathcal{D}_F (AX - XA) f = f, \quad (6)$$

where  $F := f \otimes G$ . Clearly,  $F = F_{A,X}$ . The equality (6) shows that  $1 \in \sigma_p(\mathcal{D}_F (AX - XA))$ , that is, 1 is the eigenvalue of the operator  $\mathcal{D}_F \Delta_A (X)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq r(\mathcal{D}_F (AX - XA)) \leq \|\mathcal{D}_F (AX - XA)\| \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{D}_F\| \|AX - XA\| = \|F\|_{C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})} \|\Delta_A (X)\|_{\mathcal{B}(C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D}))}; \end{aligned}$$

here  $r(\cdot)$  denotes the spectral radius of the operator. Hence

$$\frac{1}{\|F\|_{C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})}} \leq \|\Delta_A (X)\|.$$

By taking supremum over the operators  $X$  with  $\|X\| \leq 1$ , we have from this inequality that

$$\sup_{\|X\| \leq 1} \frac{1}{\|F_{A,X}\|_{C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})}} \leq \sup_{\|X\| \leq 1} \|\Delta_A (X)\| = \|\Delta_A\|,$$

that is

$$\frac{1}{\inf_{\|X\| \leq 1} \|F_{A,X}\|_{C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})}} \leq \|\Delta_A\|.$$

By denoting  $C_A := \frac{1}{\inf_{\|X\| \leq 1} \|F_{A,X}\|_{C_A^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})}} > 0$ , we have the desired result. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Süleyman Demirel University with Project 1918-YL-09. We are grateful to the referees for their important remarks and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. Biswas, A., Lambert, A., and Petrovic, S. Extended eigenvalues and the Volterra operator. *Glasgow Math. J.*, 2002, **44**, 521–534.
2. Bourdon, P. S. and Shapiro, J. H. Intertwining relations and extended eigenvalues for analytic Toeplitz operators. *Ill. J. Math.*, 2008, **52**, 1007–1030.
3. Domanov, I. Yu. and Malamud, M. M. On the spectral analysis of direct sums of Riemann–Liouville operators in Sobolev spaces of vector functions. *Int. Equat. Oper. Theory*, 2009, **63**, 181–215.
4. Gürdal, M. Description of extended eigenvalues and extended eigenvectors of integration operator on the Wiener algebra. *Expo. Math.*, 2009, **27**, 153–160.
5. Gürdal, M. On the extended eigenvalues and extended eigenvectors of shift operator on the Wiener algebra. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 2009, **22**, 1727–1729.
6. Karaev, M. T. Invariant subspaces, cyclic vectors, commutant and extended eigenvectors of some convolution operators. *Methods Functional Anal. Topology*, 2005, **11**, 48–59.
7. Karaev, M. T. On some applications of ordinary and extended Duhamel products. *Siberian Math. J.*, 2005, **46**, 431–442 (translated from *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 2005, **46**, 553–566).
8. Karaev, M. T. and Saltan, S. A Banach algebra structure for the Wiener algebra  $W(\mathbb{D})$  of the disc. *Complex Variables Theory Appl.*, 2005, **50**, 299–305.
9. Malamud, M. M. Similarity of Volterra operators and related problems in the theory of differential equations of fractional orders. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, 1995, **56**, 57–122 (translated from *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.*, 1994, **55**, 73–148).

10. Malamud, M. M. Invariant and hyperinvariant subspaces of direct sums of simple Volterra operators. *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, 1998, **102**, 143–167.
11. Nagnibida, N. I. Description of commutants of integration operator in analytic spaces. *Siberian Math. J.*, 1981, **22**, 748–752 (translated from *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 1981, **22**, 127–131).
12. Tkachenko, V. A. Invariant subspaces and unicellularity of operators of generalized integration in spaces of analytic functionals. *Math. Notes*, 1997, **22**, 221–230.
13. Wigley, N. M. The Duhamel product of analytic functions. *Duke Math. J.*, 1974, **41**, 211–217.

## Mõnedest operaatorvõrranditest analüütiliste funktsioonide ruumis

Mehmet Gürdal ja Filiz Şöhret

On uuritud teatavate konvolutsioonioperaatorite laiendatud omaväärtusi, laiendatud omavektoreid ja tsükli-vektoreid ning nendega seonduvaid küsimusi.