



Thermoelasticity and entropy flow

József Verhás

Institute of Physics, Budapest University of Technology and Economy, H-1521, Budapest, Hungary; verhas@phy.bme.hu,
<http://newton.phy.bme.hu/~verhas/>

Received 5 February 2008, in revised form 14 April 2008

Abstract. The well-known theories of thermoelasticity are based on thermal expansion, and recently the theory of heat conduction has been looked into for improvements. The reason is that heat conduction is described with scalar and vector variables but elasticity with second order tensors, and in linear order there is no direct coupling between second order tensors and vectors or scalars if the material is isotropic. The observed deviations from the present theories urge that new tracks for research be sought. Such a new track can be opened by Onsager's thermodynamics supplemented with dynamic degrees of freedom. This theory is usually referred to as extended thermodynamics. The key moment is in the general form of the entropy current out of local equilibrium, which leads to the formal introduction of the transport of the dynamic degrees of freedom. The skeleton of the possible theories is based on the introduction of one or more vectorial dynamic variables. They can be coupled to the current density of the heat flow, while their 'diffusion' intensities are second order tensors coupled directly in linear order to the stress tensor even if the material is isotropic. The possibilities are demonstrated on an example with one dynamic degree of freedom.

Key words: thermodynamic modelling, Onsager–Casimir reciprocal relation, irreversible thermodynamics, thermoelasticity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Non-equilibrium thermodynamics has been applied with success to numerous phenomena even in the form that nowadays is referred to as 'classical irreversible thermodynamics'. This form was accepted just after the first papers of Onsager [1–4] and is presented – more or less strictly – in the classical monographs [5–8]. It concerned the principle of local equilibrium, which turned out to be too tight and was generalized [9–14]. The departure from local equilibrium proved to be very fruitful and opened a broad perspective of applications [15–25]. Here a model for thermal stress is sketched that may be the closest to the original Onsagerian presentation. In the model, thermal stress is invoked by heat conduction through linear Onsager equations.

2. THE MODEL

Assume a moving solid body in which heat conduction happens. The first law reads

$$\rho \dot{u} + \operatorname{div} \vec{J}_q = t : \dot{d}. \quad (1)$$

Here ρ is the density, u the specific internal energy, \vec{J}_q the current density of heat flow, t Cauchy's stress, and \dot{d} the symmetric part of the velocity gradient. The $\dot{}$ above the symbols means co-rotational derivative. Further on the study will be restricted to small deformations for the sake of simpler formulae; with this restriction, the co-rotational derivative of the dilatation tensor d equals the symmetric part of the velocity gradient.

The local state variables are the specific internal energy u , the dilatation tensor d , and a vectorial dynamic variable $\vec{\xi}$ not yet specified. As the variable $\vec{\xi}$ is determined by the other two in equilibrium they can be chosen in a special way so that the entropy function takes the form

$$s = s^e \left(u - \frac{1}{2} \vec{\xi}^2, d \right). \quad (2)$$

Here $s^e(u, d)$ is the equilibrium entropy function. The choice of the dynamic variables resulting in the above non-equilibrium entropy function is ensured by the Morse lemma [26] and the maximum property of the entropy.

The general form of the entropy balance reads

$$\rho \dot{s} + \operatorname{div} \vec{J}_s = \sigma_s \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

Here \vec{J}_s is the entropy flow density and σ_s the entropy production. The inequality expresses the second law.

The system is not in local equilibrium. The classical formula of the entropy flow is replaced [27] by

$$\vec{J}_s = \frac{1}{T} \left(\vec{J}_q - J_\xi \vec{\xi} \right). \quad (4)$$

Here J_ξ is the current density of the transport of the dynamic variable.

The actual form of the entropy production or better of the energy dissipation function reads

$$T\sigma_s = -\frac{1}{T} \text{grad} T \cdot \left(\vec{J}_q - J_\xi \vec{\xi} \right) - \vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_\xi + (t - t^e) : \dot{d} - J_\xi : \text{Grad} \vec{\xi}. \quad (5)$$

Here the abbreviating notations

$$t^e = -T\rho \frac{\partial s}{\partial d}$$

$$\vec{\sigma}_\xi = \rho \overset{\circ}{\xi} + \text{Div} J_\xi$$

have been introduced. The function t^e is the equilibrium stress belonging to the present specific entropy and deformation, and $\vec{\sigma}_\xi$ is the source density of quantity $\vec{\xi}$.

The four terms in the above formula describe the entropy generation of four processes. The first term belongs to heat conduction, the second to the relaxation of the dynamic variable, the third to the viscous effects, and the fourth to the transport of the dynamic degree of freedom.

The conditions of an equilibrium can be taken from here. According to the first term the temperature has to be uniform, according to the second the dynamic structure has to be relaxed. The third term gives the expression for the stress at an equilibrium. Introducing the notation makes its meaning clear. The last term says that no gradient of $\vec{\xi}$ can be present at an equilibrium.

3. CONSTITUTIVE EQUATIONS

The first two terms belong to vectorial phenomena and the last two to tensorial ones. The Onsager equations fall into two uncoupled sets of equations. One for the vectorial phenomena

$$\left(\vec{J}_q - J_\xi \vec{\xi} \right) = -L_{qq} \frac{1}{T} \text{grad} T - L_{q\xi} \vec{\xi} \quad (6)$$

$$\vec{\sigma}_\xi = -L_{\xi q} \frac{1}{T} \text{grad} T - L_{\xi\xi} \vec{\xi} \quad (7)$$

and one for the tensorial quantities

$$(t - t^e) = L_{dd} \overset{\circ}{d} - L_{d\xi} \text{Grad} \vec{\xi}$$

$$J_\xi = L_{\xi d} \overset{\circ}{d} - L_{\xi\xi} \text{Grad} \vec{\xi}. \quad (8)$$

Here the quantities L_{\dots} are isotropic fourth order tensors, the general form of which [28] makes it possible to split the set of equations (8) into three uncoupled sets, one for the traces

$$(p^e - p) = L_{dd}^0 \text{div} \vec{v} - L_{d\xi}^0 \text{div} \vec{\xi}$$

$$\text{tr} J_\xi = L_{\xi d}^0 \text{div} \vec{v} - L_{\xi\xi}^0 \text{div} \vec{\xi}, \quad (9)$$

one for the deviatoric parts

$$(t^D - t^{eD}) = L_{dd}^D \overset{\circ}{d}^D - L_{d\xi}^D \left(\text{Grad} \vec{\xi} \right)^D$$

$$J_\xi^D = L_{\xi d}^D \overset{\circ}{d}^D - L_{\xi\xi}^D \left(\text{Grad} \vec{\xi} \right)^D, \quad (10)$$

and one for the skew-symmetric parts

$$0 = L_{d\xi}^A \left(\text{Grad} \vec{\xi} \right)^A \quad (11)$$

$$(J_\xi)^A = -L_{\xi\xi}^A \left(\text{Grad} \vec{\xi} \right)^A. \quad (12)$$

Here the L_{\dots} coefficients are numbers. The superscripts 0 , D , and A refer to the scalar, the deviatoric, and the skew-symmetric parts, respectively. The coefficient $L_{d\xi}^A$ equals obviously zero as otherwise the equations would be incompatible.

4. DISCUSSION

Nevertheless, to study the detailed mathematics and the consequences of the equations if far beyond the authenticity and the possibilities of a thermodynamicist, I intend to manage with a probable oversimplified case when $\vec{\xi}$ can be neglected in the equation of heat conduction (6), the transport of $\vec{\xi}$ in (7), and the viscous terms in equations (8)–(10), moreover, the relaxation is fast. With these simplifications, the J_ξ loses importance and the equations for heat conduction are not influenced by the dynamic variable even if a theory better than Fourier's is assumed. The $\vec{\xi}$ is proportional to the temperature gradient and the stress tensor is supplemented with a part linearly depending on the second derivatives of the temperature field. Equation (8)₁ turns into

$$(t - t^e) = L_{d\xi} \frac{L_{\xi q}}{T L_{\xi\xi}} \text{Grad} \text{grad} T, \quad (13)$$

which may explain the experience that a coat of mortar is hindering the disintegration of the wall in some tunnels.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by OTKA 62278 and T04848.

REFERENCES

1. Onsager, L. Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes. I. *Phys. Rev.*, 1931, **37**, 405–426.
2. Onsager, L. Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes. II. *Phys. Rev.*, 1931, **38**, 2265–2279.
3. Onsager, L. and Maclup, S. Fluctuations and irreversible processes. *Phys. Rev.*, 1953, **91**, 1505–1512.
4. Maclup, S. and Onsager, L. Fluctuations and irreversible processes. II. Systems with kinetic energy. *Phys. Rev.*, 1953, **91**, 1512–1515.
5. De Groot, S. R. *Thermodynamics of Irreversible Processes*. North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1951.
6. De Groot, S. R. and Mazur, P. *Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics*. North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1962.
7. Prigogine, I. *Introduction to Thermodynamics of Irreversible Processes*. Interscience, New York, 1961.
8. Gyarmati, I. *Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics*. Springer, Berlin, 1970.
9. Gyarmati, I. On the wave approach of thermodynamics and some problems of non-linear theories. *J. Non-Equilib. Thermodyn.*, 1977, **2**, 233–260.
10. Jou, D., Casas-Vázquez, J. and Lebon, G. *Extended Irreversible Thermodynamics*. Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1993.
11. Jou, D., Casas-Vázquez, J. and Lebon, G. Extended irreversible thermodynamics: An overview of recent bibliography. *J. Non-Equilib. Thermodyn.*, 1992, **17**, 383–396.
12. Müller, I. and Ruggeri, T. *Extended Thermodynamics*. Springer, Berlin, New York, 1993. Second edition: *Rational Extended Thermodynamics*, 1998.
13. Garcia-Colin, L. S. and Uribe, F. J. Extended irreversible thermodynamics beyond the linear regime. A critical overview. *J. Non-Equilib. Thermodyn.*, 1991, **16**, 89–128.
14. Verhás, J. *Thermodynamics and Rheology*. Akadémiai Kiadó and Kluwer Academic Publishers, Budapest and Dordrecht, 1997.
15. Ván, P. and Ruszin, É. Derivation of the basic equations of MHD from the governing principle of dissipative processes. *Acta Phys. Hung.*, 1990, **68**, 227–239.
16. Ciancio, V., Dolfin, M. and Ván, P. Thermodynamic theory of dia- and paramagnetic materials. *J. Appl. Electromagn. Mech.*, 1996, **7**, 237–247.
17. Holló, S. and Nyíri, B. Equation for cathodic glow sheath. *Acta Phys. Hung.*, 1992, **72**, 71–88.
18. Nyíri, B. Non-equilibrium thermodynamical discussion of ionization in breakdown and glow. In *Fifth International Symposium on the Science and Technology of Light Sources*. York, 1989, 61.
19. Kluitenberg, G. A. and Ciancio, V. The stress–strain–temperature relation for anisotropic Kelvin–Voigt media. *Atti Acad. Sci. Lett.*, 1981, **XL**, ser. 4, f. 2, part 1, 107–122.
20. Turrisi, E., Ciancio, V. and Kluitenberg, G. A. On the propagation of linear transverse acoustic waves. II. Some cases of special interest (Poynting–Thomson, Jeffreys, Maxwell, Kelvin–Voigt, Hooke and Newton media). *Physica A*, 1982, **116**, 594–603.
21. Dolfin, M., Ciancio, V. and Ván, P. Thermodynamic theory of dia- and paramagnetic materials. *Int. J. Appl. Electromagn. Mech.*, 1996, **7**, 237–247.
22. Muschik, W., Ehrentauf, H. and Papenfuß, C. The connection between Ericksen–Leslie equations and the balances of mesoscopic theory of liquid crystals. *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.*, 1995, **262**, 417–423.
23. Ván, P., Papenfuß, C. and Muschik, W. Mesoscopic dynamics of microcracks. *Phys. Rev. E*, 2000, **62**(5), 6206–6215.
24. Ván, P. Weakly nonlocal irreversible thermodynamics – the Ginzburg–Landau equation. *Techn. Mech.*, 2002, **22**(2), 104–110.
25. Papenfuß, C., Ván, P. and Muschik, W. Mesoscopic theory of microcracks. *Arch. Mech.*, 2003, **55**(5–6), 459–477.
26. Morse, M. Relation between the critical points of a real function of n independent variables. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 1925, **27**, 345–396.
27. Verhás, J. On the entropy current. *Int. J. Non-equilib. Thermodyn.*, 1983, **8**, 201.
28. Smith, G. F. and Rivlin, R. S. The anisotropic tensors. *Q. Appl. Math.*, 1957, **15**, 308–314.

Termoelastsus ja entroopiavoog

József Verhás

Üldtuntud termoelastsusteooriad baseeruvad termilise paisumise nähtusel. Hiljutised täiustused püüavad arvestada soojusjuhtivusteooria elemente. Põhjuseks on see, et soojusjuhtivuse kirjeldamisel käsitletakse skalaarseid ja vektoriaalseid muutujaid, samas kui elastsusteooria vaatleb teist järku tensoreid ning lineaarses seades puudub isotroopse materjali puhul otsene seos teist järku tensorite ja vektorite või skaalarite vahel. Kirjeldatud kõrvalekalded olemasolevatest teooriatest suunavad teadlasi uutele radadele. Sellise uue raja võib avada Onsageri termodünaamika, milles on lisana arvestatud dünaamilisi vabadusastmeid. Seda teooriat refereeritakse kui laiendatud termodünaamikat. Otsustav on siin lokaalsest tasakaalust väljuva entroopiavoo üldine kirjeldus, mis formaalselt arvestab dünaamiliste vabadusastmete transporti. Võimalike teooriate raamistik baseerub ühe või mitme vektoriaalse dünaamilise muutuja sissetoomisel. Need võivad olla seotud soojusvoo hetketihedusega, kuna nende “difusiooni” intensiivsused on teist järku tensorid, mis on lineaarsel juhul otseselt seotud pingetensoriga isegi siis, kui materjal on isotroopne. Teooria võimalusi on demonstreeritud näitega, kus on arvestatud ühe dünaamilise vabadusastmega.