Investigation of the pseudoelastic behaviour in two commercial NiTi alloys: experiments and modelling
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Abstract. The purpose of the work is to test the suitability of commercially available NiTi alloys for application as seismic protection material. Two different NiTi alloys are characterized mechanically. One of these alloys is fully austenitic at room temperature, while the other has an austenite start temperature above room temperature, but a martensite start temperature below room temperature. The two alloys show only a small temperature region with pseudoelastic behaviour. Modelling was performed to investigate whether combining two different materials in one damper configuration provides a damper with a broad range of temperatures with good damping characteristics.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Although shape memory alloys (SMAs) have been known for decades, they have not been widely used in the civil structures. Due to their high damping capacity, there has been a recent interest in application of SMAs to seismic damping \cite{1-5}.

Shape memory alloys are mostly known for their ability to revert to their initial shape upon heating. This is called the shape memory effect. Another important phenomenon is the so-called pseudoelasticity or superelasticity in which the phase transformation from austenite to martensite occurs merely by increasing the external stress. The alloy undergoes the reverse transformation to the austenite state automatically by unloading the stress. The stress–strain curve for the pseudoelasticity shows a distinctive plateau and a hysteresis. This pseudo-
elastic behaviour exhibits good damping capacity because of the necessary energy for the phase transformations. The objective of the work is to characterize two commercially available NiTi alloys and investigate their suitability for application as seismic protection material.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Materials

Two different NiTi alloys designated AF5 and AF30 were purchased from Grikun (China). The wires had a diameter of 2 mm. The chemical analyses of the two alloys are listed in Table 1. The transformation temperatures \( A_s \) = austenite start, \( A_f \) = austenite finish, \( M_s \) = martensite start, and \( M_f \) = martensite finish) of materials are listed in Table 2. The transformation temperatures of the AF5 alloy are expected to be lower than the transformation temperatures of the AF30 alloy, since the presence of a higher Ni content generally decreases the transformation temperatures [6]. More details about the materials are available in [7].

2.2. Modelling

The mechanical behaviour of NiTi materials was modelled using Brinson’s [8] model and programmed using MATLAB. Details of the modelling work are reported in Olsen [9]. The material parameters necessary for the Brinson model are calculated from stress–strain curves at various temperatures. These are as follows:

- elasticity modulus for the austenitic phase \( D_A \),
- elasticity modulus for the stress-induced martensite phase \( D_M \),
- critical stress for transformation start at \( T < M_s \) \( \sigma_M^{M\rightarrow M} \),
- critical stress for transformation ending at \( T < M_f \) \( \sigma_M^{M'\rightarrow M} \),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF5</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF30</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( A_s )</th>
<th>( A_f )</th>
<th>( M_s )</th>
<th>( M_f )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>-67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• slope of the critical transformation stress – temperature curves for forward transformation ($C_M$),
• slope of the critical transformation stress – temperature curves for reverse transformation ($C_A$),
• maximum residual strain ($\varepsilon_L$).

2.3. Mechanical characterization

Tensile tests were performed using a Dartec M1000RK servo hydraulic tensile testing machine connected to an Instron 8800 Digital Controller. A heat chamber with a temperature range between –150°C and 250°C was used to control the specimen temperature. The displacement was registered using an extensometer. The temperature of the specimen surface was measured using a thermocouple. The length of the wire specimens was 200 mm.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The AF5 material is a NiTi alloy with an $A_f$ temperature of 4°C (see Table 2). The stress–strain curves for the AF5 material are shown in Fig. 1. The tested material exhibits a wide hysteresis and a small temperature range for pseudoelasticity. A more elaborate discussion and results of fatigue testing of this material are reported in [7]. Although the material has obviously its limitations when used as a seismic damping material, it is used to provide the parameters necessary for the modelling. At temperatures below the $M_s$ the critical stress, $\sigma_{M \rightarrow M}$, is nearly constant, as shown in Fig. 1a–c. From Fig. 1b it can be found that $\sigma_{M \rightarrow M} = 72.7$ MPa and $\sigma_{f \rightarrow M} = 296.9$ MPa.

The only stress–strain curve with distinct linear deformation of stress-induced martensite is found at –20°C, thus the elasticity modulus for stress-induced martensite, $D_M$, is obtained from Fig. 1d. From the same figure the maximum residual stress, $\varepsilon_L$, is obtained. At $T = 100°C$ the material is completely in the austenitic phase and the elasticity modulus for austenite, $D_A$, is measured from Fig. 1h. When $T > M_s$, the critical transformation stress, $\sigma_{M \rightarrow A}$, increases with temperature. A similar behaviour can be observed for $\sigma_{A \rightarrow M}$ when $T \geq A_s$.

Figure 2 shows the critical stresses versus temperature. A linear regression was used to determine the parameters $C_M$ and $C_A$. The respective values are 8.0 MPa/°C and 7.3 MPa/°C.

The AF30 material is a NiTi alloy with an austenite finish temperature, $A_f$, of 41°C (see Table 2). Figure 3 shows the stress–strain curves for this material. At temperatures $T \leq M_s$ the critical stress, $\sigma_{M \rightarrow M}$, is nearly constant as shown in Fig. 3a–c. The values of the critical stresses, $\sigma_{M \rightarrow M}$ and $\sigma_{f \rightarrow M}$, can be obtained from these figures. The elasticity modulus for the stress-induced martensite, $D_M$, and maximum residual strain, $\varepsilon_L$, are obtained from the curve at $T = –53°C$ (Fig. 3c). The elasticity modulus for austenite, $D_A$, is measured...
Fig. 1. Tensile testing results of the AF5 material at various temperatures.

Fig. 2. Critical transformation stresses for forward and reverse transformation as a function of temperature for the AF5 material.
Fig. 3. Tensile testing results of the AF30 material at various temperatures.

at \( T = 46^\circ C \) (Fig. 3g), when the material is completely in the austenitic phase. Similar to the AF5 material, the critical transformation stress, \( \sigma^{A\rightarrow M}_A \), increases with temperature when \( T > M_s \). The same is valid for \( \sigma^{M\rightarrow A}_s \) when \( T \geq A_s \). Figure 4 shows these critical stresses plotted against temperature. The slopes of the linear regression lines are used to determine the \( C_M \) and \( C_A \). The critical stress value at \(-53^\circ C\) can be included in the interpolation, since it is taken at the \( M_s \) of AF30 [8].

The materials show some degree of superelasticity at temperatures above \( A_s \), making it possible to obtain material data needed to perform passive damper simulations based on Brinson’s model. In Table 3 an overview of the material data is given.
Fig. 4. Critical transformation stresses for forward and reverse transformation as a function of temperature for the AF30 material.

Table 3. Parameters measured from the stress–strain curves for the Brinson model. For explanation of symbols see section 2.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$D_{M},$ MPa</th>
<th>$D_{A},$ MPa</th>
<th>$C_{M},$ MPa/$^\circ$C</th>
<th>$C_{A},$ MPa/$^\circ$C</th>
<th>$\sigma_{M \rightarrow A}^M,$ MPa</th>
<th>$\sigma_{A \rightarrow M}^M,$ MPa</th>
<th>$\epsilon_L$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF5</td>
<td>14 000</td>
<td>62 188</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>296.9</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF30</td>
<td>21 784</td>
<td>56 730</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>394.2</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. DAMPER DESIGN

The objective of the simulations is to predict the behaviour of seismic dampers with selected composite wires. The dampers are composed of the AF5 and AF30 materials. Each wire has a length of 200 mm and a radius of 1 mm. Springs, dashpots, and spring–dashpot combinations are used to represent superelasticity (SE), the shape memory effect (SME), and the SE–SME combination, respectively. The SME is used to describe the apparent plastic deformation in the wires. Each damper system consists of three parallel coupled wires. A deformation controlled load-cycle is employed in the simulations as shown schematically in Fig. 5.

Four damper systems were studied. Based on the temperature-dependent behaviour of the wires, i.e. SE and SME, three working temperatures were considered. Since the temperature in the simulations is never below $M_s$, the wires are all assumed to be 100% austenitic. At temperatures below the $A_f$ of both AF5 and AF30, the wires experience SME and partial SE; when the temperature is above the $A_f$ of AF5 but below the $A_f$ of AF30, both SME and
SE are present; when the temperature is above the $A_f$ of both AF5 and AF30, all wires exhibit SE. Table 4 schematically shows the four damper systems. Three deformation levels were considered for each damper. The deformation length, $d_{\text{max}}$, for each cycle was chosen according to the martensite fraction of the AF5 wires. The martensite control values for simulation are $\xi_{\text{AF5}} = 0.5$, $\xi_{\text{AF5}} = 0.75$, and $\xi_{\text{AF5}} = 1$. They represent the martensite fraction value reached before unloading. Martensite fraction in the AF30 wires differs from the control values due to a shorter transformation length $\epsilon_L$.

Table 4. Systems and working temperatures considered in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Combination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 °C</td>
<td>![Diagram]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>![Diagram]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 °C</td>
<td>![Diagram]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Combination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 x AF5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x AF30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The primary task of a seismic damper is to dissipate energy during seismic activity. The energy dissipation capacities of the four dampers considered in this study are compared in Figs 6 and 7. Figure 6 shows the dissipated energy versus temperature, while Fig. 7 shows the dissipated energy versus $\varepsilon_{max}^{AF5}$ and $d_{max}$. Figure 6 indicates that the dampers containing homogeneous wire materials experience maximum dissipation when the temperature lies between $A_i$ and $A_f$. Within this temperature range, however, residual displacement will be present, reducing the re-centring capabilities of the damper. It is therefore desirable to use materials that experience superelasticity when subjected to load in the damper’s working temperature. It should be noted that if the temperature is much higher than $A_f$, the level of dissipated energy decreases noticeably, which is an undesired effect.

![Fig. 6. Comparison of the dissipated energy versus temperature curves.](image)

![Fig. 7. Dissipated energy versus $\varepsilon_{max}^{AF5}$ for all damper configurations.](image)
Figure 6 shows that a damper containing only the AF30 material exhibits the highest dissipation capacity. But since \( A_f = 41^\circ C \) for this material, re-centring will not occur at room temperatures. By adding wires of AF5 material, re-centring will occur at all temperatures above 4°C, which is the \( A_f \) for the AF5 material. The temperature dependence of the damper is lowest when one AF30 wire is combined with two AF5 wires.

Figure 7 shows how the dissipated energy varies with \( \varepsilon^n_{AF5} \) and \( d_{max} \). The energy level reaches its maximum in the range \( 0.8 < \varepsilon^n_{AF5} < 0.9 \), which indicates that it is not optimal to let the wires be deformed until complete martensite transformation has occurred.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, two commercial NiTi alloys were characterized and their mechanical behaviour in various damper configurations was modelled. The two alloys show only a small temperature region with pseudoelastic behaviour. The damping capacity of the materials is at its maximum just below \( A_f \). Combination of different materials in the same damper configuration results in a broader temperature range with good damping characteristics.
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