Concentrations of lead in some coastal fishes from the Baltic Sea
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Abstract. Although the present mean concentrations of lead in various tissues and organs of the investigated coastal Baltic fishes are fairly low (0.05–1.04 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt in muscle tissue, 0.05–1.29 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt in liver, 0.05–0.95 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt in gonads), i.e. lower than in the 1970s, they still indicate a state of pollution in the Baltic Sea. This justifies the monitoring of a selection of species, thus reflecting the contamination of their environment. In the sampling areas chosen for the study, fishes from the coastal waters of both sides of the Gulf of Finland and at the western Estonian coast appeared to be more contaminated with lead than their counterparts from the Finnish Archipelago Sea, the Gulf of Riga, and the Kiel Fjord. For flounder, however, such pattern was not so obvious. The highest concentrations of lead were analysed from perch (muscle 1.04 and liver 1.29 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt) from the isolated coastal freshwater reservoir at Pargas-Parainen. Additionally, noteworthy concentrations of lead were calculated for the liver (0.97 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt) of eelpout from Muuga and the gonads (0.95 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt) of eelpout from Tvärminne, the kidneys (0.58 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt) and bile (1.83 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt) of flounder from the Kiel Fjord, and the bile (1.54 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt) of flounder from the Åland Islands. Nevertheless, all investigated fishes were safe for human consumption.
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INTRODUCTION

In contrast to other harmful metals, as e.g. mercury and cadmium, the concentrations of lead in coastal fishes from the Baltic Sea have attracted far less attention (e.g. von Westernhagen and Bignert, 1996; Jezierska and Witeska, 2001; Szefer, 2002; Pokorska et al., 2012; Nyberg et al., 2013; Boalt et al., 2014) although effects of this toxic metal on humans (e.g. Guinnee, 1972; Bremner, 1974; Brian et al., 1980; IPCS, 1989; ATSDR, 2005), fishes, mammals, and birds (e.g. Haider, 1964, 1977; NRCC, 1979; Atchison et al., 1987; Eisler, 1988; Hofer and Lackner, 1995; Pain, 1996; Dietz et al., 1998) have been documented. Considering the significant inflow of lead into the sea and over its vast drainage area (e.g. Rühling et al., 1992; HELCOM, 1997, 2003, 2010; Bartnicki et al., 2000; Buse et al., 2003) this is rather surprising.

In the 1970s some investigations on the concentrations of lead in certain species of Baltic fish, mainly Baltic herring (Clupea harengus membras L.) and cod (Gadus morhua callaris L.), were performed (e.g. Lehtonen, 1973; Voipio
et al., 1977; Tervo et al., 1980; Perttilä et al., 1982), followed by attempts at its monitoring, mainly in the muscle tissue of herring and in the liver of cod, for the Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission (Helsinki Commission, HELCOM) by some Baltic national research institutes, e.g. the Finnish Institute of Marine Research (Haahti, 1991), the Swedish Museum of Natural History (Jorhem and Sundström, 1993), and the Estonian Marine Institute (Jankovski et al., 1996). In the southern Baltic Sea similar investigations additionally included sprat (Sprattus sprattus balticus Schn.), flounder (Platichthys flesus L.), perch (Perca fluviatilis L.), and some other species (Falandysz and Lorenc-Biala, 1984). After confirmation that lead mainly concentrates in internal organs, such as kidney and liver, and bone tissue of fish (e.g. Reichenbach-Klinke, 1980), and not in muscle tissue like mercury, the interest in such monitoring declined significantly, especially because the observed concentrations of lead in the muscle tissue of fish were far below the accepted security levels for fish as food for human consumption (e.g. Nuutamo et al., 1980; Haahti, 1991; Tahvonen and Kumpulainen, 1996; Leivuori, 2007). Starting again in the 1980s, monitoring of the concentrations of lead has been continued, with mainly liver and muscle tissue of herring studied (e.g. Jankovski et al., 1996; Roots and Simm, 2002; Lind et al., 2006; Leivuori, 2007).

The present study focuses on the concentrations of lead in the muscle tissue and some internal organs, mainly liver, but in some cases also in gonads, kidneys, spleen, and bile, of some representative coastal fish species from various parts of the Baltic Sea, including two coastal inlets in SW Finland (isolated in the late 1950s from the Baltic Sea). The aim is to survey and to compare the concentrations of lead in the similar fish species as in previous studies from various parts of the Baltic Sea (Voigt, 1999, 2000a, 2000b, 2003, 2004, 2008a, 2008b, 2013).

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Some abundant coastal fish species (adults and mainly of comparable size for each species separately, with the exception for the fishes from two isolated freshwater reservoirs, see below) from various parts of the Baltic Sea (Fig. 1) were sampled in the autumn season during the years 1997–2006 for analysis of concentrations of lead in muscle tissue and some internal organs, mainly liver and gonads. Besides bow-nets and gillnets trawling was used for sampling.

The following five fish species were focused upon:

- Baltic herring, sampled from Peimari-Pemarn in the Finnish Archipelago Sea (Å-AS), Tvärminne area at the Finnish SW coast of the Gulf of Finland (H-Tvä), and Väike Väin Strait at the western Estonian coast (VV);
- smelt (Osmerus eperlanus L.) from the rivermouth area of the Kokemäenjoki-Kumoäläv in the Bothnian Sea (RKK), Peimari-Pemarn (Å-AS), Tvärminne (H-Tvä), Väike Väin (VV), and south-western coastal freshwater basin of Pargas-Parainen (PP);
perch from Tvärminne (H-Tvä), Väike Väin (VV), Pargas-Parainen (PP), and the southern coastal freshwater basin of Gennarby (Gby);
- eelpout (*Zoarces viviparus* L.) from Brunskär in the Archipelago Sea (Å-AS), Tvärminne (H-Tvä), Bay of Muuga on the northern Estonian coast of the Gulf of Finland (Muu), the Roja area of the Latvian Gulf of Riga (R-GR), and the German Kiel Fjord at the southern Baltic coast (KF);
- flounder from Kokemäenjoki-Kumo (RKK), Nåtö and Åland Islands in the Archipelago Sea (Å-AS), Tvärminne (H-Tvä), and the Kiel Fjord (KF).

All these species have been considered acceptable bioindicators for heavy metals in the environment (e.g. Voigt, 2004).

Occasional by-catches of the following 12 fish species were also analysed:
- sprat from Tvärminne (H-Tvä) and Väike Väin (VV);
- pike (*Esox lucius* L.) from Pargas-Parainen (PP) and Gennarby (Gby);
- roach (*Rutilus rutilus* L.) from Tvärminne (H-Tvä) and Pargas-Parainen (PP);
- ide (*Leuciscus idus* L.) from Tvärminne (H-Tvä);
- bleak (*Alburnus lucidus* L.) from Tvärminne (H-Tvä) and Väike Väin (VV);
bream (*Abramis brama* L.) from Tvärminne (H-Tvä) and Pargas-Parainen (PP);
• Crucian carp (*Carassius carassius* L.) from Tvärminne (H-Tvä);
• ruffe (*Gymnocephalus cernuus* L.) from Tvärminne (H-Tvä), Väike Väin (VV), and Pargas-Parainen (PP);
• pikeperch (*Stizostedion lucioperca* L.) from Tvärminne (H-Tvä) and Pargas-Parainen (PP);
• burbot (*Lota lota* L.) from Tvärminne (H-Tvä), Pargas-Parainen (PP), and Gennarby (Gby);
• bull-rout (*Myoxocephalus scorpius* L.) from Tvärminne (H-Tvä);
• turbot (*Psetta maxima* L.) from Nåtö (Å-AS) and Tvärminne (H-Tvä).

These additional species were included in the study in order to verify (i.e. to contradict or support) the obtained results for the five main species.

Prior to analyses of the abdominal muscle tissue and the removed internal organs, mainly liver and gonads and in some cases also kidneys, spleen and bile (flounder and turbot), the fish were stored at −20 °C. After treatment with acids (HNO₃ and H₂SO₄), the samples were analysed individually (herring, smelt, perch, eelpout, and flounder from the sea). The other 12 species from the reservoirs together with the gonads of herring and smelt from the sea were analysed as pooled samples (homogenized tissues and organs, separately treated as individual samples described above). The AAS-technique was used: Varian-SpectrAA 400, equipped with a graphite furnace; GTA-96, ETAAS (Voigt, 2000a, 2003).

The certified and obtained values for the reference materials were Pb certified 0.08 ± 0.015 and Pb obtained 0.09 ± 0.06 mg kg⁻¹ dwt.

All samples were analysed in duplicate. The accuracy was assessed by using blanks (5 per each sequence of 40 samples) and reference material BCR CRM-422 (cod muscle) (Quevauviller et al., 1993). All results are expressed in mg Pb kg⁻¹ dwt (dry weight).

**RESULTS**

The mean concentrations of lead (mg kg⁻¹ dwt) in the muscle tissue, liver, and gonads of the five selected fishes are presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3, respectively. For flounder in some cases additionally also other internal organs, such as kidneys, spleen, and bile, were analysed for the concentrations of lead (Table 4). The concentrations of lead in the muscle tissue and liver of the analysed additional species are given in Tables 1a and 2a, respectively.

The mean concentrations of lead in the muscle tissue of the investigated fishes decreased in the order perch (Pargas-Parainen), Crucian carp (Tvärminne), eelpout (Muuga), bleak (Väike Väin), burbot (Gennarby), smelt (Väike Väin), perch (Väike Väin), Baltic herring (Väike Väin), ide (Tvärminne), burbot (Pargas-Parainen) (Tables 1 and 1a). All these concentrations exceeded the value of 0.20 mg kg⁻¹ dwt.

For the mean concentrations of lead in the liver of the investigated fishes the decreasing order is: perch (Pargas-Parainen), eelpout (Muuga), Crucian carp (Tvärminne), roach (Tvärminne), burbot (Pargas-Parainen), burbot (Gennarby),
Table 1. Mean concentrations of lead (mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt) in the muscle tissue of the sampled five main species (a) and 12 additionally analysed species (b). For sampling areas see Material and Methods. SD = standard deviation, \(N\) = number of fish analysed, – not sampled

(a)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Herring (SD, N)</th>
<th>Smelt (SD, N)</th>
<th>Perch (SD, N)</th>
<th>Eelpout (SD, N)</th>
<th>Flounder (SD, N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RKK</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.16 (0.08, 20)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.10 (0.12, 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Å-AS</td>
<td>0.12 (0.06, 6)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.04, 7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.18 (0.11, 21)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.08, 29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-Tvä</td>
<td>0.10 (0.09, 15)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.16, 14)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.15, 5)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.22, 23)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.03, 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muu</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.37 (0.26, 27)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV</td>
<td>0.25 (0.06, 10)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.03, 12)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.36, 5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-GR</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.11 (0.09, 16)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.19 (0.30, 41)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.21, 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.12 (–, 30)</td>
<td>1.04 (–, 8)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gby</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05 (–, 5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H-Tvä</td>
<td>0.05 (5)</td>
<td>0.08 (6)</td>
<td>0.22 (7)</td>
<td>0.05 (6)</td>
<td>0.05 (14)</td>
<td>0.40 (3)</td>
<td>0.05 (5)</td>
<td>0.10 (4)</td>
<td>0.05 (2)</td>
<td>0.10 (5)</td>
<td>0.07 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV</td>
<td>0.10 (5)</td>
<td>0.05 (5)</td>
<td>0.35 (5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.11 (7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.09 (5)</td>
<td>0.18 (5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.18 (6)</td>
<td>0.05 (10)</td>
<td>0.05 (4)</td>
<td>0.05 (2)</td>
<td>0.20 (3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gby</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05 (7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.34 (2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.34 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Å-As</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05 (7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean concentrations of lead exceeding the value of 0.20 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt in the gonads were all determined in four main species sampled. The decreasing order is: eelpout (Tvärminne), perch (Pargas-Parainen), eelpout (Muuga), eelpout (Roja), eelpout (Kiel Fjord), smelt (Tvärminne), flounder (Kiel Fjord) (Table 3). The mean concentrations of lead in the gonads of the additionally analysed species were low: for pike Gennarby = 0.05 (\(N = 7\)) and turbot Tvärminne = 0.05 (\(N = 7\)) mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt.

The mean concentrations of lead in other inner organs (kidneys, spleen, and bile) for flounder were from 0.20 to 1.83 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt (Table 4). The mean concentration of lead in the kidneys of turbot from Nåtö was 0.10 (\(N = 7\)) and from Tvärminne 0.20 (\(N = 7\)) mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt.
Table 2. Mean concentrations of lead (mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} dwt) in the liver of the sampled five main species (a) and some additionally analysed species (b). For sampling areas see Material and Methods. SD = standard deviation, \( N \) = number of fish analysed, – not sampled.

(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Herring (SD, ( N ))</th>
<th>Smelt (SD, ( N ))</th>
<th>Perch (SD, ( N ))</th>
<th>Eelpout (SD, ( N ))</th>
<th>Flounder (SD, ( N ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RKK</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.23 (0.14, 18)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Å-AS</td>
<td>0.10 (0.12, 6)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.12, 7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.20 (0.11, 20)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.08, 29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-Tvä</td>
<td>0.20 (0.21, 10)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.24, 14)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.24 (0.19, 20)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.08, 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muu</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.97 (0.29, 27)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV</td>
<td>0.20 (0.23, 10)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.18, 12)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.08, 5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-GR</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.29 (0.16, 26)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.19 (0.23, 39)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.35, 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05 (–, 20)</td>
<td>1.29 (–, 8)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gby</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05 (–, 5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Pike (( N ))</th>
<th>Roach (( N ))</th>
<th>Ide (( N ))</th>
<th>Bream (( N ))</th>
<th>Crucian carp (( N ))</th>
<th>Ruffe (( N ))</th>
<th>Burbot (( N ))</th>
<th>Bull-rout (( N ))</th>
<th>Turbot (( N ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H-Tvä</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.55 (6)</td>
<td>0.25 (7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.70 (3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.10 (2)</td>
<td>0.20 (5)</td>
<td>0.20 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>0.07 (5)</td>
<td>0.19 (5)</td>
<td>0.18 (6)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05 (6)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.50 (2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.20 (5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Å-As</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBy</td>
<td>0.06 (7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.50 (2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Mean concentrations of lead (mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} dwt) in the gonads of the sampled five main species. For sampling areas see Material and Methods. SD = standard deviation, \( N \) = number of fish analysed, – not sampled.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Herring (( N ))</th>
<th>Smelt (( N ))</th>
<th>Perch (( N ))</th>
<th>Eelpout (SD, ( N ))</th>
<th>Flounder (SD, ( N ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RKK</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.15 (8)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Å-AS</td>
<td>0.07 (6)</td>
<td>0.05 (7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.15 (0.16, 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-Tvä</td>
<td>0.10 (10)</td>
<td>0.25 (14)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.95 (0.06, 15)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.06, 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muu</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.44 (0.67, 15)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV</td>
<td>0.11 (10)</td>
<td>0.19 (10)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-GR</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.33 (0.30, 6)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.27 (0.22, 32)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.37, 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05 (12)</td>
<td>0.70 (4)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gby</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05 (5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Mean concentrations of lead (mg kg⁻¹ dwt) in kidneys (R), spleen (S), and bile (B), of flounder. For sampling areas see Material and Methods. SD = standard deviation, N = number of fish analysed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>PbR (SD, N)</th>
<th>PbS (SD, N)</th>
<th>PbB (SD, N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ÅA-S</td>
<td>0.50 (0.34, 22)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.55, 20)</td>
<td>1.54 (0.89, 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-Tvä</td>
<td>0.20 (0.23, 13)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.63, 10)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.79. 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF</td>
<td>0.61 (0.55, 24)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.23, 14)</td>
<td>1.83 (1.71, 6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of the mean concentrations of lead in the muscle tissue, liver, and gonads of fishes analysed revealed differences between the sampling areas.

1. Lead in muscle tissue (PbM): Most fishes from the Väike Väin (herring, smelt, perch, sprat, ruffe) apparently were, with the exception of the two freshwater reservoirs (Pargas-Parainen, Gennarby), more contaminated with lead in muscle tissue than the corresponding species at the other two coastal sampling stations (Peimari-Pemarn, Tvärminne). For roach, however, the opposite seems to be the case. In addition notable concentrations of PbM were calculated for perch and burbot from the Pargas-Parainen reservoir in contrast to the comparably low concentrations of smelt, pike, ruffe, pikeperch, roach, and bream from the same basin as well as pike and perch from the Gennarby reservoir. Notable concentrations of PbM were also calculated for ide and Crucian carp, both from Tvärminne, and eelpout from Muuga. For flounder the means of PbM were of the same modest order of magnitude from all sampling stations. Even lower mean values were calculated for PbM of sprat, herring, smelt, perch, flounder, ruffe, pikeperch, roach, burbot, bull-rout, and turbot from Tvärminne.

2. Lead in liver (PbL): The calculated mean concentrations of lead in liver were of the same low order of magnitude for herring, smelt, ide, bream, and bull-rout from Tvärminne as for herring, smelt, and roach from the Väike Väin, smelt from Kokemänenjoki-Kumoälven, and eelpout from Brunskär. For flounder the values for PbL were of the same low order of magnitude from all sampling stations (Nåtö, Tvärminne, Kiel Fjord). Moreover, they all were considerably lower than the comparably higher means for roach, eelpout, and Crucian carp from Tvärminne, eelpout from Muuga, perch and burbot from Pargas-Parainen. All these contrasted the obviously low values for PbL in herring, smelt, eelpout, flounder, and turbot from Åland and the Archipelago Sea; perch and roach from the Väike-Väin; burbot from Tvärminne; smelt, pike, ruffe, and pikeperch from Pargas-Parainen; and pike and perch from the Gennarby basin.

3. Lead in gonads (PbG): Notably high concentrations of PbG were calculated for smelt and eelpout from Tvärminne, eelpout from Muuga and the Kiel Fjord as well as for flounder. The concentrations of PbG were also high for perch from Pargas-Parainen. Fairly modest concentrations were calculated for flounder from Nåtö, smelt from the Väike Väin and from Kumo-Kokemänenjoki. The values were even lower for herring and smelt from Tvärminne, smelt from Pargas-Parainen, perch from Gennarby, and flounder and turbot from Tvärminne.
As the concentrations of lead equal to or below 0.05 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt are close to the detection limit of the technical equipment used, they are considered as ‘uncertain values’. Consequently, the mean values of and below 0.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt are treated only as ‘orders of magnitude’ when comparing the results from various sampling stations. For this reason no statistical treatment of the results was performed.

**DISCUSSION**

Bearing in mind the limitations regarding the obtained results and the recognized difficulties involved in analyses of low concentrations of lead in biotic materials (e.g. Martin and Coughtrey, 1982), there still are noteworthy distinctions in the calculated mean concentrations between the sampling stations.

The most contaminated species was perch from the Pargas-Parainen reservoir with high concentrations calculated for the whole fish (PbM, PbL, PbG). It was followed by eelpout from Muuga and Tvärminne, then by eelpout from Roja and the Kiel Fjord. The eelpout was least contaminated at Brunskär. Flounder in general were less contaminated with lead than eelpout at all sampling stations.

The highest concentrations of Pb in flounder were calculated from the bile (PbB) at all its three sampling stations the Kiel Fjord, Nåtö, and Tvärminne; followed by kidney (PbR) at the Kiel Fjord, Nåtö, and Tvärminne; and spleen (PbS) at Nåtö, the Kiel Fjord, and Tvärminne.

With the exception of the considerably high mean values calculated for lead in the muscle tissue, liver, and gonads of perch and in the liver of burbot from the freshwater Pargas-Parainen reservoir and the corresponding lower although still notable values for the liver and gonads of eelpout from Muuga and Tvärminne, the other results mainly are of such an uncertain character as stated before (Results). This probably justifies the decision not to treat the material statistically.

Thus, comparison of the obtained data shows that the pelagic and semi-pelagic species such as sprat, herring, and smelt apparently are more contaminated with lead in the West Estonian Väike Väin and at the inlet to the Gulf of Finland at Tvärminne than in the Finnish Archipelago Sea. The mean concentrations of lead in the eelpout (muscle, liver, and gonads) from Muuga and the eelpout and smelt (muscle, liver, and gonads) from the Tvärminne area were also distinct from most corresponding results from the other sampling stations (Finnish Archipelago Sea, Gulf of Riga, and Kiel Fjord).

For flounder, only the calculated mean concentrations of lead in liver were higher at Tvärminne compared to the mean concentrations in muscle, gonads, kidneys, spleen, and bile of flounder from the Åland Islands and the Kiel Fjord. Such a pattern is different from the results for the other fishes studied.

As heavy metal accumulation in fish mainly takes place via consumption (e.g. Reichenbach-Klinke, 1980), the contradictory results regarding the concentrations of lead may be due to different food and migration habits of both flounder and eelpout in the sampling areas of this study. Flounder and eelpout in the Kiel area and in the Gulf of Riga, respectively, consume a broad spectrum of benthos including...
several species of polychaete worms and mussels (Arntz, 1978; Urtans, 1990) in contrast to feeding on mainly mussels (*Macoma balthica*, *Mytilus edulis*) at both Nätö, Åland, and at Tvärminne, and including mainly benthic crustaceans for eelpout from the northern sampling areas (Urtans, 1990; and the author’s unpublished data). Besides, in the southern Baltic Sea flounder is migrating widely when feeding and spawning, in contrast to its mainly stationary behaviour in the northern Baltic Sea (Aro, 1989). For eelpout the extremely stationary behaviour has been well known for a long time (Schmidt, 1917).

The high mean values of lead in the gonads of smelt and eelpout from Tvärminne and in the gonads of perch from Pargas-Parainen support the assumption that both these sampling areas are contaminated with lead. The fishes from both freshwater reservoirs were also considerably more contaminated with other heavy metals analysed previously (e.g. mercury, copper, cadmium, nickel) compared to the same species outside the reservoirs (Voigt, 2000a, 2008b).

Assuming that fish reflect the contamination with e.g. heavy metals in their environment (e.g. Vogt and Kittelberger, 1976; Haider, 1980; Polak-Juszczak, 1996; Voigt, 2004), the modest and comparably low concentrations of lead in the analysed organs and tissues of fishes from the Gulf of Riga (eelpout) and the Kiel Fjord (eelpout and flounder) may indicate a lower contamination with lead of these sampling areas compared to the other sampling areas.

The available data on mean concentrations of lead in the surface sediments from the various sampling stations (Nätö, Åland = 25 mg kg⁻¹ dwt (author’s unpublished data), Seili-Själö, Archipelago Sea = 30 mg kg⁻¹ dwt (Müller, 1999), Tvärminne = 53 mg kg⁻¹ dwt (Voigt, 2007), Gulf of Riga = 31 mg kg⁻¹ dwt (Leivuori et al., 2000), Pargas-Parainen reservoir = 45 mg kg⁻¹ dwt (Voigt, 2000a)), however, with the exception of Tvärminne, do not support verdicts in any direction. The Tvärminne area has been influenced by various metal emissions from a minor local iron and steel factory since the 1960s, which is reflected both in sediments and biota, mainly regarding cadmium (e.g. Voigt, 2004, 2007).

For coastal fishes off Hanko-Hangö, SW Finland, sampled in the 1970s, the mean concentrations of lead in muscle tissue were varying: for herring ca 0.7–1.25, smelt ca 0.75, flounder ca 0.4–0.60 mg kg⁻¹ dwt (Karppanen and Stabel-Taucher, 1976; Voipio et al., 1977; Tervo et al., 1980).

In the same period, for smelt from Tvärminne, the mean concentrations of lead were calculated as 0.22 (muscle), 0.89 (liver), and 0.90 (gonads) mg kg⁻¹ dwt (Voigt, 1999). The corresponding mean calculated for muscle tissue of herring from the Helsinki region varied between ca 1.9 and 2.4 mg kg⁻¹ dwt (Lehtonen, 1973). For flounder from the Archipelago Sea the mean concentration of lead in muscle tissue was ca 0.45 mg kg⁻¹ dwt (Miettinen and Verta, 1978). Consequently, all the calculated mean values of concentrations of lead in the muscle tissue of the analysed fishes were considerably higher in the 1970s than at present (Tables 1–4).

Regarding herring from the open waters between Finland and Estonia the present mean concentrations of lead in both muscle tissue and liver are even notably lower: in muscle tissue ca 0.01–0.05 and in liver ca 0.07 mg kg⁻¹ dwt, which are close to the detection limit of ca 0.01 mg kg⁻¹ dwt (Leivuori, 2007).
In herring and flounder from the Estonian coastal waters of the Gulf of Finland (1973–1999) the mean concentrations of lead in both muscle tissue and liver are in some cases higher than reported in the material from Finland, although still mainly of the same order of magnitude, i.e. herring PbM = ca 0.2–0.45 and PbL ca 0.3–0.51 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt, and flounder PbM = ca 0.15–0.60 and PbL 0.47–0.58 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt, respectively. However, for gonads the values differ remarkably: in herring PbG = ca 0.25–3.1 and in flounder PbG = ca 0.40 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt (Jankovski et al., 1996). With the exception of the year 1998 (when an extremely high mean value of ca 3.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt was calculated), the mean concentration of lead in the liver of herring from the Bay of Tallinn varied between 0.25 and 0.75 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt in the years 1994–2001; the highest value was calculated for 1994. Also in the Gulf of Finland off Kunda, eastwards from Tallinn, the value of lead in the liver of herring varied between ca 0.25 and 0.75 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt during the period of 1994–2001 (Roots and Simm, 2002). In Pärnu Bay, northern Gulf of Riga, the corresponding values are for herring PbM = ca 0.35, PbL = ca 0.47, and PbG = ca 2.25 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt, respectively (Jankovski et al., 1996).

In herring from the Polish southern coast of the Baltic Sea (1991–1997) the mean value of lead in the muscle tissue of herring was ca 0.05–0.60 and in the muscle tissue of flounder ca 0.05–0.50 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt (e.g. Pempkowiak and Szefer, 1992; Polak-Juszczak, 2000), in the liver and kidneys of flounder (1984–1993) ca 1.1–2.5 and ca 4.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt, respectively (Protasowicki, 1989; Polak-Juszczak and Domagała, 1994), i.e. in several cases higher than in the present material (Table 1), although with a tendency towards decreasing in time. The same tendency has been observed for most analysed fishes from all over the Baltic Sea (e.g. Roots and Simm, 2002; Lind et al., 2006; Leivuori, 2007; HELCOM, 2010; Nyberg et al., 2013; Boalt et al., 2014). In a recent study of herring and flounder from the southern Polish Baltic Sea in 2009, this decline of the concentrations of lead in both species was even more pronounced: for the muscle tissue of herring the mean value was calculated to 0.7 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt and for flounder to ca 0.11 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt (Pokorska et al., 2012). The corresponding mean values for the lead concentration in the liver of these species were 0.06 and 0.03 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dwt, respectively (Pokorska et al., 2012). All these concentrations are remarkably lower than the previously published values, but also the relevant data given in Tables 1–4.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The obtained concentrations of lead in muscle tissue, liver, and gonads from several abundant coastal Baltic fish species are, compared to previous investigations of the corresponding fish species from adjacent areas, notably lower than in the 1970s. This finding supports the earlier statements of decreasing temporal trends by the Swedish Museum of Natural History for the period 1969–2011 (Lind et al., 2006; Boalt et al., 2014) and by HELCOM (e.g. HELCOM Secretariat, 2008; Nyberg et al., 2013).
Fish from large reservoirs isolated from the Baltic Sea may be more contaminated with lead than fish sampled outside the basins. The same was previously shown for some other heavy metals (e.g. Voigt, 2000a, 2008a).

The stipulated safety level, 0.30 mg kg$^{-1}$ fwt, corresponding to ca 1.5 mg kg$^{-1}$ dwt, in muscle tissue, for fish as food for humans (European Commission, 2006) was not exceeded in any sample.
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